



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

57  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,829	11/18/2003	Chad A. Mirkin	00-715-B	8960
20306	7590	06/23/2006	EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			RILEY, JEZIA	
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	
32ND FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1637	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/716,829

Applicant(s)

MIRKIN ET AL.

Examiner

Jezia Riley

Art Unit

1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 434,436-452,454-464 and 485 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 434,436-452,454-464 and 485 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)            |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/15/04, 1/15/04</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 434 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hainfield (US 5,521,289).

Hainfield discloses, a new class of metal cluster compounds, and a process for making such compounds. The compounds may be generally described as organothiol metal clusters, wherein the metal core is comprised of gold, platinum, silver, palladium or combinations of these metals. The metal core is about 1.4 nm in diameter and comprises about 50-70 metal atoms. There are about 12 metal atoms on the surface of each cluster, and each surface metal atom is bound to an organic group by a thiol (M--S) bond. The organometallic clusters or colloids are covalently bonded to antibodies, antibody fragments, avidin or streptavidin, peptides, drugs, antigens, DNA, RNA, or

Art Unit: 1637

other biological molecules, so as to form organometallic probes. A process for producing these organometallic probes is also described.

3. Claims 434 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leone et al (US 6,369,206 B1).

Leone et al. discloses a metal organothiol particle having the formula  $M_n(SR)_m$  wherein M represents a cluster of metal atoms selected from the group consisting of Au, Ag, Pt, Pd, Ti, and combinations thereof, said cluster forming a central core of said particle, wherein n is an integer at least equal to 50, wherein  $(SR)_m$  represents one or more organothiol moieties which may be the same or different, and wherein said organothiol moieties form an outer shell covalently linked to said central core through the sulfur atom of each of said organothiol moieties. (see claims).

"Thiol gold clusters" are novel gold dusters produced by a novel synthesis. The procedure is: form an organic-gold complex by reacting a compound containing a thiol with gold in solution. A second equivalent is also added of the thiol compound. Finally the gold organic is reduced with  $NaBH_4$  or other reducing agents and organometallic particles are formed. These have the general formula  $Au_nR_mR'_l \dots$ , where n, m, and l are integers, R and R' are organic thiols, (e.g., alkyl thiols, aryl thiols, proteins containing thiol, peptides or nucleic acids with thiol, glutathione, cysteine, thioglucose, thiolbenzoic acid, etc.) and the ellipsis indicates that one or more organic thiols may be used. With two equivalents of organic thiol compound, clusters with gold cores about 1.4 nm are formed with many organic moieties. The organic moiety may then be

Art Unit: 1637

reacted by usual reactions to covalently link this particle to antibodies, lipids, carbohydrates, nucleic acids, or other molecules to form probes. Mixtures of organic thiols may be used to provide mixed functionality to the clusters. (col. 11).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1637

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 434, 436-452, 454-464, 485 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yguerabide et al. (6,214,560).

Yguerabide et al. discloses a method of light illumination and detection named "DLASLPD" (direct light angled for scattered light only from particle detected), which is an analyte assay using gold particulate label for specific detection of one or more analytes in a sample. One or more analytes in a sample can be detected and measured by detection and/or measurement of one or more of the specific light scattering properties of metal-like particles. (Summary of the Invention). For example, a certain nucleic acid analyte is composed of about 100 nucleic acid bases and is present in a sample. The sample is prepared so that this nucleic acid is in a single stranded form. Then two or more unique single-stranded "probe" nucleic acid sequences are added to the sample where these different probes bind to different regions of the target strand. Each of these probes has attached to one or more particles (col. 74). Further, the particles can form different types of aggregates that can be detected visually or instrumentally in a microscope or through macroscopic observation or measurements without having to separate free from analyte bound particles. Low particle surface density (less than 0.1 particles per  $\mu^2$ ) on a spot and high particle surface density

Art Unit: 1637

(greater than 0.1 particles per  $\mu^2$ ) on a spot are also disclosed which are viewed to be inclusive of the instant claims.

In certain analytical and diagnostic assays, it may be preferable to increase the detectability of the scattered light properties of the particles so that very simplified or no detection instrumentation is required. By use of the appropriate molecular recognition binding-pairs and particles it is possible to significantly increase the level of detection sensitivity. Single-stranded homopolymer sequences, avidin-biotin, streptavidin-biotin, and other binding-pair systems can be used to "chain-together" and "build-up" many particles (col. 73-76).

The reference describes methods of attachment of substances to particles and other surfaces. In this method of attaching substances to particles or other surfaces, a two step approach which involves the use of base material molecules is used. Suitable base material molecules are any substance which can approach and interact with the surface by adsorption or other chemical process, and have accessible functional groups to which additional substances, as for example, binding agents can be attached. For example a single-stranded nucleic acid is end labeled with a thiol or disulfide at the 3' or 5' end with or without additional hydrophobic groups incorporated into the same region of the molecule. This modified nucleic acid will bind to the metal surface or particle at the end labeled with these groups. The ionic part of the nucleic acid keeps the main chain of the nucleic acid's molecular structure away from the surface such that it is accessible for molecular interactions with most any substance that can specifically bind to it. (col.80).

Art Unit: 1637

6. As it is pointed in *In re Fitzgerald* (205 USPQ), page 594, 2nd col. , 1st full paragraph supports the shifting of the burden of proof to the applicant that the instantly claimed invention is novel and unobvious over the prior arts. Since both the prior art and the instant application prepare and use composition which appeared to be identical.

Furthermore, it is noted that, the recitation of surface density will be obvious, since stability would likely depend on external conditions (e.g., temperature, aqueous conditions, etc.), and presumably, as long as the oligonucleotide is attached either directly or indirectly, the oligonucleotides would be present at a surface density sufficient so that the nanoparticles are stable. In addition, since only "at least some" of the oligonucleotides have a sequence complementary to "at least a portion" of the sequence of a another nucleic acid or another oligonucleotide, the oligonucleotide can comprise any nucleic acid sequence. Furthermore, with respect to the recitation of "recognition oligonucleotide", "spacer portion" are only limiting to their specific definitions given in the specification (see page 22, lines 2-6). These definitions are very broad and encompass any nucleotide sequence.

7. No claim is allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.




Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuesday, June 20, 2006

  
JEZIA RILEY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER